

Plagiarism Checker X Originality Report



Plagiarism Quantity: 15% Duplicate

Date	Saturday, September 26, 2020
Words	550 Plagiarized Words / Total 3671 Words
Sources	More than 80 Sources Identified.
Remarks	Low Plagiarism Detected - Your Document needs Optional Improvement.

Renny Sari Dewi Department of Information Systems Universitas Internasional Semen Indonesia Gresik, Indonesia Abstract In 2015, the President of Indonesia established Bekraf stands for Badan Ekonomi Kreatif (Creative Economy Association of Indonesia) through Presidential Regulation (Perpres) number 6. The purpose of establishing Bekraf is to enable creative business actors to collaborate with government in developing this nation's entertainment industries. Nowadays, the game is accepted as an alternative form of education.

Therefore, the researchers aim to assist the business of digital creative field to estimate computer game development effort. This research is based on Function Points (FP) method, which is better known as cost calculation of software application development project. The result of this study shows the need to modify the definition of computer games parameters, including input, output, inquiry, internal file logic, and external file logic. Besides that, the complexity factors should be redefined and synchronized with 8 items of LeBlanc Taxonomy.

Then, its collaboration is named Game Complexity Factors (GCF), consists of 22 items of complexity factors 8 items from LeBlanc Taxonomy and the rest from technical complexity.. Keywords function points, game complexity, complexity factor, game development, game estimation I. I NTRODUCTION Since 2015, Indonesian creative industries have been officially managed by Badan Ekonomi Kreatif (Bekraf) Creative Economy Association of Indonesia as a result of a presidential regulation made that year.

Among all the industries listed in Bekraf, the government is paying special attention to the development of a

Sources found:

Click on the highlighted sentence to see sources.

Internet Pages

- 0% [Empty](#)
- 0% https://issuu.com/fauzikutro/docs/jaff_1
- 0% <https://www.ibm.com/developerworks/ratio>
- 0% <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conway%27s>
- 0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
- 0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
- 0% <https://www.lboro.ac.uk/study/postgradua>
- 0% <https://www.15minutenews.com/business/20>
- 0% <http://marketnewsupdates.com/news/tapm.h>
- 0% <https://lead1association.com/lead1-relea>
- 0% <http://tsowell.com/spracecu.html>
- 0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
- 0% <http://www.softwaremetrics.com/Function%>
- 0% <https://www.slideshare.net/santosh25/gu>
- 0% <http://spotidoc.com/doc/1474578/full-pro>
- 0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
- 0% <http://www.sagepub.com/sites/default/fil>
- 0% <https://pediaa.com/difference-between-in>
- 0% <https://www.bing.com/aclick?id=e8Fr5GICN>
- 0% <https://www.bing.com/aclick?id=e8v6V7C7k>

game industry. Digital games seem to be well-accepted by the society. According to the report released by Newzoo in 2017, the number of Indonesian gamers was as big as 43.7 million (ranked 16th worldwide in terms of game revenues). Meanwhile, Newzoo also noted that globally, game market revenues reached US\$ 108.9 billion. Forty-two percent (42%) of it was dominated by mobile platform [1].

The growth of a digital game market inspired us the researchers to discover scientific method used to estimate game effort. There have been studies about that, but still not so many, especially in Indonesia. This research is based on Function Points Analysis (FP), a method developed by Allan Albrecht in 1979 [2] [3] [4]. That method was significantly modified and improved. In 1987, a method named International Function Points User Group (IFPUG) was known as a result of it [5].

FP method has been proven effective to estimate an effort in small, middle, or even big scale of software development project [6] [7]. Moreover, FP is considered better than the other methods, such as Use Case Points (UCP), Cost Constructive Models (Cocomo) [8] [9], and Activity-Based Costing [10]. Based on usability proofs discovered in earlier studies, we assume that the FP method can also be adopted for applications like digital games used for educational purposes. Here are a few reasons why we wanted to do research on that topic.

◆ There are similarities between input and output parameters measured by software applications and digital games. ◆ Parameters of the technical and environmental complexity of digital games are almost similar to those of software applications. ◆ Time needed to develop digital games is relatively shorter than to develop software applications. And so, the FP method can be applied in this case. ◆ Funds to develop digital games can be gotten from Business-to-Business (B2B) model.

Because of those four reasons, developers of digital games need tools to simplify the process of estimating the effort. We discovered that there were not many researches conducted on digital games due to the following factors. Digital games developers have not discovered the standard components needed to estimate effort. Meanwhile, we believe that the development process of digital games is similar to that of software projects.

That is why there are a few parameters that can be used to measure how big or small a digital game is. Methods used to estimate the effort of software development have not been tested to digital games. That might possibly be caused by art or entertainment factors involved in the process of making digital games. I. R ELATED R ESEARCH A. Function Points for Estimating Software Effort Allan Albrechts introduced a method called Function Points (FP) in 1979 [4]. Fig.1

0% <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Digitizati>

0% <https://medium.com/picksaas/project-mana>

0% <https://www.electronics-notes.com/articl>

0% <https://www.myspeechclass.com/good-and-i>

0% <https://blog.prepscholar.com/how-long-sh>

0% <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Apo>

0% <https://uisi.ac.id/assets/upload/media/f>

0% <https://www.bing.com/ackick?id=e8i4gmrYb>

0% <https://www.quora.com/>

0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>

0% <https://www.ipcc.ch/srocc/chapter/chapte>

0% <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ar>

0% <https://gamedevelopertips.com/mechanics->

0% <https://tvtropes.org/pmwiki/pmwiki.php/U>

0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>

0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>

0% <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ar>

0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>

0% <https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~adamchik/15-121/>

0% <https://techbeacon.com/app-dev-testing/t>

0% <https://www.marketingsherpa.com/article/>

0% <https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/com>

0% https://wikimili.com/en/Video_game_progr

0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>

0% <https://improvandy.com/elevator-pitch/ex>

0% <https://www.freepatentsonline.com/763098>

0% <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ar>

0% <https://blogs.systweak.com/must-have-win>

0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>

0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>

shows the steps needed to get the estimated score of effort. Game Complexity Factor: A Collaborative Study of LeBlanc Taxonomy and Function Points Method Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember Surabaya, Indonesia Sholih Department of Information Systems Apol Pribadi Subriadi Department of Information Systems Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember Surabaya, Indonesia renny.rsd@gmail.com sholih@is.its.ac.id apol@is.its.ac.id ! " 153 Fig. 1.

Function Points Method in Software Cost Estimation [7] FP method has been used for researches in estimating the effort of software development projects. Here are a few reasons why. ♦ FP is recommended because it can be applied not only in small software development projects but also in bigger ones [3][11]. ♦ FP can be used in projects based on Geographic Information System (GIS) [13]. ♦ FP scores can be improved by modifying technical complexity level. In a case study, they can go down from 27.5% to 10.45% (approximately 17%) compared to the actual effort of four public service applications [7] [6]. B.

Qualitative Approach for Studying Game Different from software applications, the concept of effort estimation is not used in digital game projects, particularly in Indonesia. According to our observations, some digital game industries are using raw estimation based on pay rate (man-hour or man-day) to get estimated cost without considering their effort. Some results of qualitative researches about the development of the digital game as below.

♦ Sabahat [14] stated that FP has been tested to 67 digital games written in various programming languages (Java, C#, C++, VB, and Pascal). There are 8 complexity factors identified: Number of Rules, Number of Players, Animation, 3D Visualization, Computer Opponent, Multi Skills, Number of Type of Variants, and Miscellaneous Game Options. ♦ LeBlanc [15] stated that there are 3 main elements in game researches: mechanic, design, and aesthetic. The latter is something that makes every digital game has ♦fun♦ factor.

There is 8 taxonomy (see Table I) that need to be implemented so that digital games can be entertaining [16].
TABLE I. L E B LANC T AXONOMY OF G AME P LEASURES Taxonomy Description Sensation A game as sense-pleasure. Fantasy A game as make-believe. Narrative A game ♦specifically, flow of it♦as drama. Challenge A game as an obstacle course, since there are things like time pressure and opponent play. Fellowship A game as a social framework, in which users can be encouraged by sharing information across certain members of a session (a team) or supplying winning conditions that are more difficult to be achieved alone. Discovery A game as uncharted territory, since there are things about rising tension and denouement.

Expression A game as self-discovery, encourage an individual user to leave their mark. Submission A game as a pastime, in which there are milestones to continue the game. Sabahat♦s research [14] did not accommodate 8 kinds of fun defined by LeBlanc yet. Therefore, we try to synchronize the complexity factors of

0% <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/article>
0% <https://www.bing.com/aclick?id=e8A28YMI3>
0% <http://www.pomocucitelum.cz/katalog-uceb>
0% <https://ibmathsresources.com/tag/british>
0% <https://www.tutorialspoint.com/estimatio>
0% <https://www.mdpi.com/journal/remotesensi>
0% <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vereenigde>
0% <https://teachingcenter.wustl.edu/resourc>
0% <https://wikimili.com/en/Narrative>
0% <https://www.arch.columbia.edu/books/read>
0% <https://www.sessionlab.com/blog/icebreak>
0% <https://www.nintendolife.com/news/2020/0>
0% <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ar>
0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
0% <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ar>
0% <http://sites.psu.edu/codydutkogameblog/2>
0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
0% <http://investors.waitrapp.com/static-fil>
0% <https://www.applicationperformancemanage>
2% <https://teknosi.fti.unand.ac.id/index.ph>
0% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
0% <https://www.bing.com/aclick?id=e80Pkm7qh>
0% <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ar>
1% <https://www.ejurnal.stmik-budidarma.ac.i>
1% <https://www.researchgate.net/publication>
0% <https://www.bing.com/aclick?id=e8CA0cj9L>
0% <https://www.bing.com/aclick?id=e8OPz2qO1>
0% <https://www.bing.com/aclick?id=e8W0iGZRf>
0% <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s12927>
1% <http://kinetik.umm.ac.id/index.php/kinet>

FP with LeBlanc Taxonomy through this research. III.

RESEARCH METHODS Systematically, this research is divided into two main phases. The first phase is redefining the main parameters of the FP method, which can be divided into three substeps. The second one is mapping and synchronizing the complexity factors, focusing on the justification of FP by Alan Albrecht and effort estimation scores (see Fig. 2). Challenges we faced existed within those two phases. They can potentially change the formula or weighting of each parameter and complexity factors. Fig. 2.

Research Phases in Function Points Method on Computer Game ! " 154 Primary data collection is obtained by interviewing a number of stakeholders related to mobile education games. Some informants needed include 3 project managers, 2 game designers, and 3 game players. Data and information collected during interviews with project managers and game designers are how to set up funding allocations to develop a mobile education game.

Justification of what complexity factors are used whether a mobile game is said to be large or small. Whereas in-game players, the data needed is an opinion about how entertaining a mobile education game is. A. Redefining Main Parameters According to Sabahat [14], digital games have a few components that need to be considered. In the FP method, five main components are needed to justify a software application.

Unfortunately, his case study of digital games did not include those operated in a mobile platform. It is interesting for us to review the complexity factors related to difficulty level, be it in the technical side or environmental side of users. B. Synchronizing Complexity Factors Taxonomy formulated by LeBlanc [15] is significantly different from complexity factors of FP method. We assume that both can be mapped and synchronized so that LeBlanc taxonomy can be used as users environmental complexity factor.

In digital games, optimization should not be done to the technical side only. There are also nontechnical factors that should be optimized to create the fun. IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION Interviews were conducted with 3 stakeholders in game development, including project managers, game designers, and game players. The project manager was interviewed 3 times, while the game designer was interviewed 2 times and the game player was interviewed once after successfully playing the game according to the level provided. According to the interviews done previously, we summarize the case study of digital game in Table II.

There are 8 educational games in the casual genre used to test by 5 main parameters and complexity factors (technical and environmental). TABLE II. OVERVIEW OF DIGITAL GAMES USED AS CASE STUDY

Game	Explanation	Description	Segmentation	Genre
Boci	Trace	Alphabet	A mobile game in which the user is asked to follow icons of plane, ship, and car to form a letter (alphabet).	2-4 years Education- Casual

Theme Park: Carnival A mobile game in which user is asked to play with carnival such as Ferris wheel, roller coaster, and many more.

2-6 years Education- Casual Boci Play Counting A mobile game in which user is asked to count something (such fruits) 4-6 years Education- Casual Game Explanation Description Segmentation Genre Boci Zoo A mobile game in which the user is asked to imitate animal sounds in the zoo 2-6 years Education- Casual Boci Play Hide and Seek A mobile game in which the user is asked to find his friend who is hiding somewhere 1-3 years Education- Casual The Expedition Man A mobile game in which user pretends to be courier who should adhere to traffic regulations while sending package within the given time.

>12 years Education- Casual Soccer Tactic Simulation A serious PC game in which the user simulates tactics and strategies in a soccer game. Training center members Education- Serious Jermania A mobile game in which the user can learn the culture and conversations used in Germany. >8 years Education- Casual Abata A serious mobile game in which the user can learn to recite Quran according to its rules of pronunciation (tajwid). >3 years Education- Serious Basically, platforms or programming languages used in digital games are variations.

But according to the report released by Newzoo, 42% of users prefer mobile games to PC or console games. From all those users of mobile games, most of them choose games with the casual genre. A. Redefining Main Parameters As mentioned before, the first phase of the FP method is redefining five main parameters (see Table III). Here, we are comparing casual games to software applications. TABLE III.

C OMPARISON B ETWEEN D IGITAL G AME AND S OFTWARE A PPLICATION Parameter Frequence a in Software Application Frequence in Digital Game External Input Always Rare External Output Always Rare External Inquiries Always Rare Logic File Internal Often Never Logic File External Often Rare a Notes on frequence: Always: a must use, frequence score >3 Often: frequence score between 1-3 Rare: relative, not a must use Never: not used at all As shown in Table III, we can conclude that comparison between the usage of five main parameters in digital games tends to be rare or never.

Meanwhile, in software ! " 155 applications, the usage of frequency is the opposite (Often and Always). The purpose of redefining the main parameters in the FP method is for early investigation of the differences between software applications and mobile games. This certainly has an impact on the FP method formulation, especially in calculating the Unadjusted Function Point or UFP (see Figure 1).

So, if the difference is very significant, the author then conducts an in-depth analysis related to the synchronization of game complexity factors (namely GCF). B.

Synchronizing Complexity Factors After we know that there are significant differences in main parameters, the

next step is mapping and synchronizing LeBlanc's taxonomy (Table I) and complexity factors used in the FP method. We name the result of that synchronization Game Complexity Factors (GCF), which consists of 22 items. The summary of GCF and its scale(s) can be seen in Table IV. TABLE IV. GAME COMPLEXITY FACTORS

No Taxonomy Scale Interval 1 Sensation 0 Not attractive 10 Very attractive 2 Fantasy 0 Non-pervasive 10 Persavive 3 Narrative 0 Not dramatic 10 Very dramatic 4 Challenge 0 Effortless 10 Very challenging 5 Fellowship 0 Individual 10 Teamwork 6 Discovery 0 Curiousless 10 Very curious 7 Expression 0 Uninterest 10 Shareable 8 Submission 0 Spare time 10 Anytime FP's Complexity Factor 0 1 2 3 4 5 9 Level of reliability for recovery No need recovery High Score In-App Purchase Chat Message Chat Voice Multi-player 10 Level of data communications Save Local Online- only Auto- Sync 11 Level of distributed data processing Without Login Login (optional) Must Login 12 Level of performance needs Casual 1 vs 1 player 5 vs 5 player 6-10 player 11-30 player > 30 player 13 Level of environment configuration Single platform Dual platform Triple platform Quad platform HTML5 multi platform 14 Level of transaction rate (pipe communication) 1 pipe comm 2 pipe comm 3 pipe comm > 3 pipe comm 15 Level of end-user efficiency 3 view/ class 4-10 view/ class 11-30 view/ class 31-50 view/ class > 50 view/ class 16 Level of master file update Without update Update Android game Online game 17 Level of online real-time update None Delay ok No delay 18 Level of reusability Character reuse Feature modified Total modified 19 Level of installation ease No install Simple install Hard install 20 Level of operational ease Mouse/ touch 1-2 key press 2-5 key press > 5 key press 21 Level of customer variation All age range Selected age range 22 Level of change possibility No change Game rule modified Country policy GCF is an important factor in weighting the difficulty level of a digital game. Based on GCF, we can measure how big or small the resource needed.

In FP method, interval scale of complexity factors ranging from 0 to 5, different ! " 156 from LeBlanc's taxonomy that is usually using interval scale 0 to 10. Therefore, both complexity variables in GCF should be weighted individually. Table IV shows 22 items of complexity factors, 8 of them are based on LeBlanc's taxonomy and the rest 14 items are from technical complexity factors.

Complexity factors based on LeBlanc's taxonomy can be explained below. 1) Sensation A digital game is said to have sensation when it can bring out attractiveness between its players. The more attractive it is, the higher the score is. 2) Fantasy A digital game can bring out a fantasy when the players unintentionally immersed in it and manage to follow the steps to reach the expected goal. 3) Narrative Narration plays an important role in bringing the game's flow.

Digital games are expected to give a dramatic side when the players accomplish a certain task(s). 4) Challenge Digital game is expected to always provide different and new challenges in each scene. Digital games usually have a certain obstacle level to measure the players' effort. It should always make the users curious so that they will keep playing the game. 5) Fellowship There are social aspects contained in a digital game. They enable users to work or play together in accomplishing challenge(s) within the game.

6) Discovery One way to get the players engaged to the game is to dig their curiosity in the certain stage(s) or level(s). Players' curiosity will determine the score scaled between 0 to 10. 7) Expression Players show their enthusiasms through expression while playing. There are players who do not really interested in digital games because (maybe) they do not feel challenged. Otherwise, if a player thinks the game is fun, they will most likely share it with the other players.

8) Submission If players feel fun, they might be triggered to always play the game. They can spend their spare time, or even their day (anytime) to play the game. For 14 items of FP method's technical complexity factors, score 0 to 5 refer to the explanation given Table IV, specifically on point 9 to 22. Technical complexity factors that used by FP method are: 1) Level of reliability for recovery, 2) Level of data communications, 3) Level of distributed data processing, 4) Level of performance needs, 5) Level of environment configuration, 6) Level of transaction rate, 7) Level of end-user efficiency, 8) Level of master file update, 9) Level of online real-time update, 10) Level of reusability, 11) Level of installation ease, 12) Level of operational ease, 13) Level of customer variation, and 14) Level of change possibility.

Since developing digital games differs from developing software applications, every developer team should measure the difficulties based on the involvement of infrastructure, regulations, and interactions between players. V. CONCLUSION AND FURTHER RESEARCH Here are a few conclusions we get from this research. In the Function Points (FP) method, there are 14 complexity factors focusing on the technical side of software development. Therefore, if our case study is digital games, the technical complexity factors should be redefined.

LeBlanc's taxonomy should be a special consideration in measuring user's environmental complexity factors. LeBlanc formulated 8 kinds of fun: sensation, fantasy, narrative, challenge, fellowship, discovery, expression, and submission. Technical complexity factors of FP can be synchronized with the user's environmental complexity factors of LeBlanc's taxonomy to form Game Complexity Factors (GCF). There are 22 items of GCF that can be customized for mobile digital games.

We plan to continue this research to estimate the hourly weight of each item of GCF (LeBlanc's taxonomy and technical complexity factors). Moreover, the calculation of effort estimation should also be determined based on the index of the programming language used in the digital game(s) chosen as the case study. ACKNOWLEDGMENT We would like to thank Kementerian Riset, Teknologi, dan Pendidikan Tinggi Republik Indonesia/Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Educations of Indonesia for facilitating the research funding through Penelitian Dosen Pemula (PDP) Young Lecturer Research 2018.

We would also like to thank Lembaga Penelitian dan Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat (LPPM) Universitas Internasional Semen Indonesia (UISI) Research and Community Service Department of UISI and CEOs of MaulidanGames.com, Nightspade.com, and RollingGlory.com for the contributions given on this research. R

REFERENCES [1] E. McDonald, "The global games market will reach \$108.9 billion in 2017 with mobile taking 42%," *NewZoo*, pp. 1-5, 2017. [2] A. J. Albrecht, "Measuring application development productivity," in *IBO Conference on Application Development*, 1979, pp. 83-92. [3] A. J. Albrecht and J. E.

Gaffney Jr, "Software function, source lines of code and envelopment effort prediction: a software science validation," in *Software engineering metrics I*, 1993, pp. 137-154. [4] C. J. Lokan, "Function Points," in *Advances in Computers*, vol. 65, 2005, pp. 297-347. [5] Ifpug, *Function Point Counting Practices Manual*, vol. on06/23/, 2010. [6] R. S. Dewi, A. P. Subriadi, and Sholiq, "A Modification Complexity Factor in Function Points Method for Software Cost Estimation Towards Public Service Application," *Procedia Comput.*

Sci., vol. 124, pp. 415-422, 2017. [7] Sholiq, R. S. Dewi, and A. P. Subriadi, "A Comparative Study of Software Development Size Estimation Method: UCPabc vs Function Points," *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 124, pp. 470-477, 2017. [8] B. Boehm, R. Valerdi, J. A. Lane, and W. a. Brown, "COCOMO Suite Methodology and Evolution," *Softw. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 20-25, 2005. [9] B.

Boehm et al., "COCOMO II Model Definition Manual," *Univ. South. Calif.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 6-6, 2000. [10] R. S. Dewi, G. F. Prassida, and A. P. Subriadi, "UCPabc as an integration model for software cost estimation," in *Science in Information Technology (ICSITech)*, 2016 2nd International Conference on, 2016, pp. 187-192. [11] M. Aguiar, "Function Points or Use Case Points?," *Crosstalk*, 2006. [12] B. Jeng, D. Yeh, D. Wang, S.-L. Chu, and C.-M. Chen, "A Specific Effort Estimation Method Using Function Point," *J. Inf. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 27, pp. 1363-1376, 2011. [13] T. Wahyono, B. Soewito, S. W. H. L.

Hendric, and F. L. Gaol, "Software complexity measurement of water poverty mapping application with function point method," in *Applied Computer and Communication Technologies (ComCom)*, 2017 International Conference on, 2017, pp. 1-5. [14] N. Sabahat, A. A. Malik, and F. Azam, "A Size Estimation Model for Board-Based Desktop Games," *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 4980-4990, 2017. [15] M.

LeBlanc, "Mechanics, Dynamics, Aesthetics: A Formal Approach to Game Design," *Lect. Northwest. Univ.*, 2004. [16] R. Hunicke, M. LeBlanc, and R. Zubeck, "MDA: A Formal Approach to Game Design and Game Research," *Work. Challenges Game AI*, pp. 1-4, 2004. ! " 158